

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
SPARTANBURG DIVISION**

United States of America,

-vs

Cr. A. No. 7:22-cr-0779

McKinley Quarles,

Defendant.

**DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO OBTAIN GRAND JURY MATERIAL**

Mr. Quarles’ attorney moves to be allowed to review Grand Jury materials in preparation for trial. The electronic discovery in this case is voluminous and the materials relating to Mr. Quarles sparse. It is imperative that counsel view the information presented to the Grand Jury that supported the Government’s request that the Grand Jury indict Mr. Quarles.

The motion is based upon Mr. Quarles Sixth Amendment right to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, upon this Court’s Standing Order Governing Discovery as it pertains to Grand Jury matters, and Rule 6(e)(3)(E)(i), FRCrP.

June 23, 2023.

s/ William H. Ehliess II

---

WILLIAM H. EHLIES, II  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
Post Office Box 605  
Belton, South Carolina 29627  
Ph 864-232-3503  
[hank@ehlieslaw.com](mailto:hank@ehlieslaw.com)

**LOCAL CRIMINAL RULE 12.02**

Paragraph 4 of Judge Coggins' Standing Order and Local Rule 12.02 states that attorneys are to consult. Counsel hereby affirms that he reached out to the Assistant United States Attorney concerning the Grand Jury materials.